

# Mid-term revision by examples: Bayesian Updating, Predictive Distributions, Transformations

Remaining questions in problem sheet + worked solutions

## Warm-up problem-sheet 2 Q3: True / False (set-up)

For each statement, decide if it is **True** or **False**.

- (a) The likelihood function is proportional to the posterior distribution.
- (b) A 99% credible interval captures 99% of the posterior probability.
- (c) If a set of random variables are exchangeable, then we can reorder them without changing their joint distribution.
- (d) Bayesian and frequentist methods always lead to significantly different estimates.

## Q3(a): Question

### Statement (a)

(a) The likelihood function is proportional to the posterior distribution.

Decide: **True** or **False**?

## Q3(a): Answer

### Answer

**False.**

### Explanation

Posterior  $\propto$  likelihood  $\times$  prior:

$$\pi(\theta \mid y) \propto \pi(y \mid \theta) \pi(\theta).$$

So the likelihood alone is not proportional to the posterior unless the prior is constant (with care about support/properness).

## Q3(b): Question

### Statement (b)

**(b)** A 99% credible interval captures 99% of the posterior probability.

Decide: **True** or **False**?

## Q3(b): Answer

Answer

True.

Explanation

By definition, a 99% credible interval  $C$  is constructed so that

$$\Pr(\theta \in C \mid y) = 0.99.$$

## Q3(c): Question

### Statement (c)

**(c)** If a set of random variables are exchangeable, then we can reorder them without changing their joint distribution.

Decide: **True** or **False**?

## Q3(c): Answer

Answer

**True.**

Explanation

Exchangeability means the joint distribution is invariant under permutations of indices:

$$\pi(y_1, \dots, y_N) = \pi(y_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, y_{\sigma(N)}) \quad \text{for any permutation } \sigma.$$



## Q3(d): Question

### Statement (d)

**(d)** Bayesian and frequentist methods always lead to significantly different estimates.

Decide: **True** or **False**?

## Q3(d): Answer

Answer

**False.**

Explanation

With large samples or vague priors (e.g.  $\pi(\theta) \propto 1$  over the relevant region), Bayesian and frequentist conclusions often coincide (Bernstein–von Mises intuition).

## Q3: Summary

Statement	True/False
(a) Likelihood $\propto$ posterior	<b>False</b>
(b) 99% credible interval has 99% posterior mass	<b>True</b>
(c) Exchangeable $\Rightarrow$ permutation invariance	<b>True</b>
(d) Bayes vs frequentist always very different	<b>False</b>

## Q4: Statement of the problem

### Given

Pareto with scale  $\alpha = 1$  and shape  $\beta$ :

$$\pi(x \mid \alpha = 1, \beta) = \frac{\beta}{x^{\beta+1}}, \quad x > 1, \beta > 0.$$

Data  $y = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$  are i.i.d. from this model.

Prior:

$$\pi(\beta) \propto \beta^{a-1} e^{-b\beta}.$$

### Task

Derive the posterior  $\pi(\beta \mid y)$  and identify its distribution.

## Q4: Likelihood (show the exact simplification)

Start from the i.i.d. product

$$\pi(y \mid \beta, \alpha = 1)$$

## Q4: Likelihood (show the exact simplification)

Start from the i.i.d. product

$$\pi(y \mid \beta, \alpha = 1) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\beta}{y_i^{\beta+1}}$$

## Q4: Likelihood (show the exact simplification)

Start from the i.i.d. product

$$\pi(y \mid \beta, \alpha = 1) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\beta}{y_i^{\beta+1}} = \frac{\beta^N}{\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta+1}}.$$

Separate the  $\beta$ -dependent and constant parts

Write

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta+1}$$

## Q4: Likelihood (show the exact simplification)

Start from the i.i.d. product

$$\pi(y \mid \beta, \alpha = 1) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\beta}{y_i^{\beta+1}} = \frac{\beta^N}{\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta+1}}.$$

Separate the  $\beta$ -dependent and constant parts

Write

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta+1} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta} \right).$$



## Q4: Likelihood (show the exact simplification)

Start from the i.i.d. product

$$\pi(y \mid \beta, \alpha = 1) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\beta}{y_i^{\beta+1}} = \frac{\beta^N}{\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta+1}}.$$

Separate the  $\beta$ -dependent and constant parts

Write

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta+1} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta} \right).$$

So

$$\pi(y \mid \beta, \alpha = 1) = \frac{\beta^N}{\left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta} \right)}$$

## Q4: Likelihood (show the exact simplification)

Start from the i.i.d. product

$$\pi(y \mid \beta, \alpha = 1) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\beta}{y_i^{\beta+1}} = \frac{\beta^N}{\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta+1}}.$$

Separate the  $\beta$ -dependent and constant parts

Write

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta+1} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta} \right).$$

So

$$\pi(y \mid \beta, \alpha = 1) = \frac{\beta^N}{\left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta} \right)} \propto \frac{\beta^N}{\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\beta}}$$

because  $\prod_{i=1}^N y_i$  does not depend on  $\beta$ .

## Q4: Convert the product into an exponential using logs

From the previous slide:

$$\pi(y \mid \beta) \propto \beta^N \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} \right).$$

## Q4: Convert the product into an exponential using logs

From the previous slide:

$$\pi(y \mid \beta) \propto \beta^N \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} \right).$$

Now simplify the product term:

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta}$$

## Q4: Convert the product into an exponential using logs

From the previous slide:

$$\pi(y \mid \beta) \propto \beta^N \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} \right).$$

Now simplify the product term:

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} = \exp \left( \log \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} \right)$$

## Q4: Convert the product into an exponential using logs

From the previous slide:

$$\pi(y \mid \beta) \propto \beta^N \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} \right).$$

Now simplify the product term:

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} = \exp \left( \log \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} \right) = \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \log(y_i^{-\beta}) \right).$$

## Q4: Convert the product into an exponential using logs

From the previous slide:

$$\pi(y \mid \beta) \propto \beta^N \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} \right).$$

Now simplify the product term:

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} = \exp \left( \log \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} \right) = \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \log(y_i^{-\beta}) \right).$$

Use  $\log(y_i^{-\beta}) = -\beta \log y_i$ :

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} = \exp \left( -\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \log y_i \right).$$

## Q4: Convert the product into an exponential using logs

From the previous slide:

$$\pi(y \mid \beta) \propto \beta^N \left( \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} \right).$$

Now simplify the product term:

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} = \exp \left( \log \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} \right) = \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \log (y_i^{-\beta}) \right).$$

Use  $\log(y_i^{-\beta}) = -\beta \log y_i$ :

$$\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{-\beta} = \exp \left( -\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \log y_i \right).$$

Likelihood kernel in  $\beta$

$$\pi(y \mid \beta) \propto \beta^N \exp \left( -\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \log y_i \right).$$



## Q4: Posterior and identification as Gamma

Multiply likelihood and prior kernels:

$$\pi(\beta \mid y) \propto \pi(y \mid \beta)\pi(\beta) \propto \left[ \beta^N e^{-\beta \sum \log y_i} \right] \left[ \beta^{a-1} e^{-b\beta} \right] .$$

## Q4: Posterior and identification as Gamma

Multiply likelihood and prior kernels:

$$\pi(\beta \mid y) \propto \pi(y \mid \beta)\pi(\beta) \propto \left[ \beta^N e^{-\beta \sum \log y_i} \right] \left[ \beta^{a-1} e^{-b\beta} \right] .$$

Combine terms:

$$\pi(\beta \mid y) \propto \beta^{N+a-1} \exp \left( -\beta \left( b + \sum_{i=1}^N \log y_i \right) \right) .$$

## Q4: Posterior and identification as Gamma

Multiply likelihood and prior kernels:

$$\pi(\beta | y) \propto \pi(y | \beta)\pi(\beta) \propto \left[ \beta^N e^{-\beta \sum \log y_i} \right] \left[ \beta^{a-1} e^{-b\beta} \right].$$

Combine terms:

$$\pi(\beta | y) \propto \beta^{N+a-1} \exp\left(-\beta \left(b + \sum_{i=1}^N \log y_i\right)\right).$$

### Posterior

This is Gamma-shaped, so

$$\beta | y \sim \left( N + a, b + \sum_{i=1}^N \log y_i \right).$$

## Q4: Posterior and identification as Gamma

Multiply likelihood and prior kernels:

$$\pi(\beta | y) \propto \pi(y | \beta)\pi(\beta) \propto \left[ \beta^N e^{-\beta \sum \log y_i} \right] \left[ \beta^{a-1} e^{-b\beta} \right].$$

Combine terms:

$$\pi(\beta | y) \propto \beta^{N+a-1} \exp\left(-\beta \left(b + \sum_{i=1}^N \log y_i\right)\right).$$

### Posterior

This is Gamma-shaped, so

$$\beta | y \sim \left( N + a, b + \sum_{i=1}^N \log y_i \right).$$

### Key statistic

The data influence the posterior through the sufficient statistic  $\sum_{i=1}^N \log y_i$  (given  $\alpha = 1$ ).

## Problem sheet 3 Q2: Poisson model + Gamma prior

**Model:**  $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \mid \lambda \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ .

- (a) Prior  $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Derive posterior.
- (b) Fix  $\alpha = 1$ . Discuss effect of  $\beta$  on posterior.
- (c) Derive posterior predictive for a new observation  $\tilde{y}$ .

**Hint (given):** Negative Binomial pmf with parameters  $r, p$ :

$$\pi(k \mid r, p) = \frac{\Gamma(k+r)}{\Gamma(r) k!} (1-p)^k p^r, \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

## Q2(a) Posterior derivation

**Likelihood kernel:**

$$\pi(y \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}}{y_i!} \propto \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} e^{-N\lambda}.$$

## Q2(a) Posterior derivation

**Likelihood kernel:**

$$\pi(y \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}}{y_i!} \propto \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} e^{-N\lambda}.$$

**Gamma prior (rate parameterization):**

$$\pi(\lambda) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \propto \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}.$$

## Q2(a) Posterior derivation

**Likelihood kernel:**

$$\pi(y \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}}{y_i!} \propto \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} e^{-N\lambda}.$$

**Gamma prior (rate parameterization):**

$$\pi(\lambda) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \propto \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}.$$

**Posterior kernel:**

$$\pi(\lambda \mid y) \propto \lambda^{\sum y_i + \alpha - 1} e^{-(N + \beta)\lambda}.$$



## Q2(a) Posterior derivation

**Likelihood kernel:**

$$\pi(y \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}}{y_i!} \propto \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} e^{-N\lambda}.$$

**Gamma prior (rate parameterization):**

$$\pi(\lambda) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \propto \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}.$$

**Posterior kernel:**

$$\pi(\lambda \mid y) \propto \lambda^{\sum y_i + \alpha - 1} e^{-(N + \beta)\lambda}.$$

**Therefore**

$$\lambda \mid y \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \beta + N\right).$$

## Q2(b) Effect of $\beta$ when $\alpha = 1$

With  $\alpha = 1$ ,

$$\lambda \mid y \sim \text{Gamma}\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \beta + N\right).$$

## Q2(b) Effect of $\beta$ when $\alpha = 1$

With  $\alpha = 1$ ,

$$\lambda \mid y \sim \text{Gamma}\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \beta + N\right).$$

For  $\text{Gamma}(a, b)$  (shape  $a$ , rate  $b$ ):

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda \mid y] = \frac{1 + \sum y_i}{\beta + N}, \quad \text{Var}(\lambda \mid y) = \frac{1 + \sum y_i}{(\beta + N)^2}.$$

## Q2(b) Effect of $\beta$ when $\alpha = 1$

With  $\alpha = 1$ ,

$$\lambda \mid y \sim \text{Gamma}\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \beta + N\right).$$

For  $\text{Gamma}(a, b)$  (shape  $a$ , rate  $b$ ):

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda \mid y] = \frac{1 + \sum y_i}{\beta + N}, \quad \text{Var}(\lambda \mid y) = \frac{1 + \sum y_i}{(\beta + N)^2}.$$

### Interpretation:

- Larger  $\beta$  (stronger prior pull toward smaller  $\lambda$ )  $\Rightarrow$  smaller posterior mean and variance.
- Smaller  $\beta \Rightarrow$  weaker prior (data dominates more), larger mean/variance.

## Q2(c) Posterior predictive $\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y)$

$$\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y) = \int \pi(\tilde{y} \mid \lambda) \pi(\lambda \mid y) d\lambda, \quad \pi(\tilde{y} \mid \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\tilde{y}} e^{-\lambda}}{\tilde{y}!}.$$

## Q2(c) Posterior predictive $\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y)$

$$\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y) = \int \pi(\tilde{y} \mid \lambda) \pi(\lambda \mid y) d\lambda, \quad \pi(\tilde{y} \mid \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\tilde{y}} e^{-\lambda}}{\tilde{y}!}.$$

Plug in posterior  $\lambda \mid y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + \sum y_i, \beta + N)$  and integrate:

$$\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{y} + \alpha + \sum y_i)}{\tilde{y}! \Gamma(\alpha + \sum y_i)} \left( \frac{\beta + N}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\alpha + \sum y_i} \left( \frac{1}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\tilde{y}}.$$

## Q2(c) Posterior predictive $\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y)$

$$\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y) = \int \pi(\tilde{y} \mid \lambda) \pi(\lambda \mid y) d\lambda, \quad \pi(\tilde{y} \mid \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\tilde{y}} e^{-\lambda}}{\tilde{y}!}.$$

Plug in posterior  $\lambda \mid y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + \sum y_i, \beta + N)$  and integrate:

$$\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{y} + \alpha + \sum y_i)}{\tilde{y}! \Gamma(\alpha + \sum y_i)} \left( \frac{\beta + N}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\alpha + \sum y_i} \left( \frac{1}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\tilde{y}}.$$

This matches  $\text{NegBin}(r, p)$  with

$$r = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \quad p = \frac{\beta + N}{\beta + N + 1}.$$

## Q2(c) Posterior predictive $\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y)$

$$\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y) = \int \pi(\tilde{y} \mid \lambda) \pi(\lambda \mid y) d\lambda, \quad \pi(\tilde{y} \mid \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\tilde{y}} e^{-\lambda}}{\tilde{y}!}.$$

Plug in posterior  $\lambda \mid y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + \sum y_i, \beta + N)$  and integrate:

$$\pi(\tilde{y} \mid y) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{y} + \alpha + \sum y_i)}{\tilde{y}! \Gamma(\alpha + \sum y_i)} \left( \frac{\beta + N}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\alpha + \sum y_i} \left( \frac{1}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\tilde{y}}.$$

This matches  $\text{NegBin}(r, p)$  with

$$r = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \quad p = \frac{\beta + N}{\beta + N + 1}.$$

**Takeaway:** Poisson likelihood + Gamma posterior  $\Rightarrow$  Negative Binomial posterior predictive.



# Negative Binomial distribution $\text{NegBin}(r, p)$ : quick intro

## Interpretation (one common parametrisation)

Run i.i.d. Bernoulli trials with success probability  $p$ . Stop when you have observed  $r$  successes. Let  $K$  be the **number of failures before the  $r$ -th success**. Then  $K \sim \text{NegBin}(r, p)$ , with support  $K \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

## PMF (matches the hint used in our question)

$$\Pr(K = k) = \binom{k+r-1}{k} (1-p)^k p^r = \frac{\Gamma(k+r)}{\Gamma(r) k!} (1-p)^k p^r, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

## Mean / variance (for this parametrisation)

$$\mathbb{E}[K] = \frac{r(1-p)}{p}, \quad \text{Var}(K) = \frac{r(1-p)}{p^2}.$$

(Variance is larger than the mean unless  $p = 1$ , hence “overdispersed Poisson” behaviour.)

## Why it appeared in our sheet

If  $\tilde{Y} \mid \lambda \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda \mid y \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$ , then integrating out  $\lambda$  gives  $\tilde{Y} \mid y \sim \text{NegBin}\left(r = a, p = \frac{b}{b+1}\right)$  under the same  $\text{NegBin}(r, p)$  convention. :

### Q3: Density of a transformed variable

Let  $X \sim \pi(x)$  be continuous and  $Y = h(X)$  where  $h$  is strictly monotonic and smooth.

**Show:**

$$\pi_Y(y) = \pi_X(x) \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right|.$$

Then: if  $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ , find the density of  $Y = \sqrt{X}$ .

## Q3 Solution: general change of variables

If  $h$  is strictly increasing:

$$F_Y(y) = \Pr(Y \leq y) = \Pr(h(X) \leq y) = \Pr(X \leq h^{-1}(y)) = F_X(h^{-1}(y)).$$

## Q3 Solution: general change of variables

If  $h$  is strictly increasing:

$$F_Y(y) = \Pr(Y \leq y) = \Pr(h(X) \leq y) = \Pr(X \leq h^{-1}(y)) = F_X(h^{-1}(y)).$$

Differentiate w.r.t.  $y$ :

$$\pi_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy} F_X(h^{-1}(y)) = \pi_X(h^{-1}(y)) h^{-1}(y).$$

## Q3 Solution: general change of variables

If  $h$  is strictly increasing:

$$F_Y(y) = \Pr(Y \leq y) = \Pr(h(X) \leq y) = \Pr(X \leq h^{-1}(y)) = F_X(h^{-1}(y)).$$

Differentiate w.r.t.  $y$ :

$$\pi_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy} F_X(h^{-1}(y)) = \pi_X(h^{-1}(y)) h^{-1}(y).$$

If  $h$  is decreasing, a minus sign appears; both cases combine to

$$\pi_Y(y) = \pi_X(x) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right|.$$

### Q3 Solution: $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ , $Y = \sqrt{X}$

Here  $\pi_X(x) = e^{-x}$  for  $x > 0$ . Let  $Y = \sqrt{X} \Rightarrow X = Y^2$  with  $y > 0$ .

### Q3 Solution: $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ , $Y = \sqrt{X}$

Here  $\pi_X(x) = e^{-x}$  for  $x > 0$ . Let  $Y = \sqrt{X} \Rightarrow X = Y^2$  with  $y > 0$ .

Compute Jacobian:

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{d}{dy} = 2y.$$



### Q3 Solution: $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ , $Y = \sqrt{X}$

Here  $\pi_X(x) = e^{-x}$  for  $x > 0$ . Let  $Y = \sqrt{X} \Rightarrow X = Y^2$  with  $y > 0$ .

Compute Jacobian:

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{d}{dy} = 2y.$$

Therefore

$$\pi_Y(y) = \pi_X(y^2) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| = e^{-y^2} \cdot 2y, \quad y > 0.$$

### Q3 Solution: $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ , $Y = \sqrt{X}$

Here  $\pi_X(x) = e^{-x}$  for  $x > 0$ . Let  $Y = \sqrt{X} \Rightarrow X = Y^2$  with  $y > 0$ .

Compute Jacobian:

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{d}{dy} = 2y.$$

Therefore

$$\pi_Y(y) = \pi_X(y^2) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| = e^{-y^2} \cdot 2y, \quad y > 0.$$

**Check:** looks like a Rayleigh-type shape; integrates to 1 on  $(0, \infty)$ .

## Q4: Exponential model + invariant prior

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \lambda \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$  with density

$$\pi(x \mid \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x > 0.$$

- (a) Construct an invariant (Jeffreys) prior for  $\lambda$ .
- (b) Derive posterior using this prior.
- (c) What do you notice about this prior?

## Q4(a) Jeffreys prior via Fisher information

Single-observation log-likelihood:

$$\log \pi(X | \lambda) = \log \lambda - \lambda X.$$

## Q4(a) Jeffreys prior via Fisher information

Single-observation log-likelihood:

$$\log \pi(X | \lambda) = \log \lambda - \lambda X.$$

Derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - X, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

## Q4(a) Jeffreys prior via Fisher information

Single-observation log-likelihood:

$$\log \pi(X | \lambda) = \log \lambda - \lambda X.$$

Derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - X, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

Fisher information:

$$I(\lambda) = \mathbb{E} \left[ -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \pi(X | \lambda) \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

## Q4(a) Jeffreys prior via Fisher information

Single-observation log-likelihood:

$$\log \pi(X | \lambda) = \log \lambda - \lambda X.$$

Derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - X, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

Fisher information:

$$I(\lambda) = \mathbb{E} \left[ -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \pi(X | \lambda) \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

Jeffreys prior:

$$\pi(\lambda) \propto \sqrt{I(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

## Q4(b) Posterior with Jeffreys prior

Likelihood for  $n$  i.i.d. observations:

$$\pi(x \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} = \lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$



## Q4(b) Posterior with Jeffreys prior

Likelihood for  $n$  i.i.d. observations:

$$\pi(x | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} = \lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

Multiply by prior  $\pi(\lambda) \propto 1/\lambda$ :

$$\pi(\lambda | x) \propto \lambda^{n-1} \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

## Q4(b) Posterior with Jeffreys prior

Likelihood for  $n$  i.i.d. observations:

$$\pi(x \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} = \lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

Multiply by prior  $\pi(\lambda) \propto 1/\lambda$ :

$$\pi(\lambda \mid x) \propto \lambda^{n-1} \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

Identify Gamma kernel:

$$\lambda \mid x \sim \text{Gamma}\left(n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right),$$

(shape  $n$ , rate  $\sum x_i$ ).

## Q4(c) What do you notice about the prior?

Consider the integral over  $(0, \infty)$ :

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda = \infty.$$

## Q4(c) What do you notice about the prior?

Consider the integral over  $(0, \infty)$ :

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda = \infty.$$

**So the Jeffreys prior  $\pi(\lambda) \propto 1/\lambda$  is improper.**

## Q4(c) What do you notice about the prior?

Consider the integral over  $(0, \infty)$ :

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda = \infty.$$

**So the Jeffreys prior  $\pi(\lambda) \propto 1/\lambda$  is improper.**

**But:** the posterior  $\text{Gamma}(n, \sum x_i)$  is a proper distribution for  $n \geq 1$ . So it is still usable (common in objective Bayes).

## Wrap-up: patterns to remember

- **Conjugacy:** Beta–Binomial and Gamma–Poisson give posteriors in same family.
- **Sequential = batch:** updating doesn't depend on when data arrives.
- **Posterior predictive:** integrate out parameter; Gamma–Poisson  $\Rightarrow$  Negative Binomial.
- **Transforms:**  $\pi_Y(y) = \pi_X(x) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right|$ .
- **Jeffreys prior:**  $\pi(\lambda) \propto \sqrt{I(\lambda)}$  can be improper but yield proper posterior.