

Guided Study (Week 4 & 5): Bayesian Updating, Predictive Distributions, Transformations

Problem Sheet + Worked Solutions

Guided Study Session

Q1: Binomial model + Beta prior

Model: $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \mid p \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Binom}(n, p)$.

Tasks

- (a) With prior $p \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ derive the posterior.
- (b) After observing y_{N+1} , derive $\pi(p \mid y_1, \dots, y_N, y_{N+1})$ by *updating*.
- (c) Show you get the same result if you observe all $N + 1$ points at the start.

Q1(a)

Goal: derive $\pi(p | y) \propto \pi(y | p)\pi(p)$, with $y = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$.

Work in pairs:

- What is the likelihood $\pi(y | p)$ up to proportionality (ignore constants not depending on p)?
- Multiply by the Beta prior kernel $p^{\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\beta-1}$.
- Identify the resulting distribution family.

Q1(a)

Goal: derive $\pi(p | y) \propto \pi(y | p)\pi(p)$, with $y = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$.

Work in pairs:

- What is the likelihood $\pi(y | p)$ up to proportionality (ignore constants not depending on p)?
- Multiply by the Beta prior kernel $p^{\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\beta-1}$.
- Identify the resulting distribution family.

Hint: $\prod_{i=1}^N p^{y_i}(1-p)^{n-y_i} = p^{\sum y_i}(1-p)^{nN-\sum y_i}$.

Q1(a) Solution (posterior)

Likelihood:

$$\pi(y | p) = \prod_{i=1}^N \binom{n}{y_i} p^{y_i} (1-p)^{n-y_i} \propto p^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} (1-p)^{nN - \sum_{i=1}^N y_i}.$$

Q1(a) Solution (posterior)

Likelihood:

$$\pi(y | p) = \prod_{i=1}^N \binom{n}{y_i} p^{y_i} (1-p)^{n-y_i} \propto p^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} (1-p)^{nN - \sum_{i=1}^N y_i}.$$

Prior kernel: $\pi(p) \propto p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}$.

Q1(a) Solution (posterior)

Likelihood:

$$\pi(y | p) = \prod_{i=1}^N \binom{n}{y_i} p^{y_i} (1-p)^{n-y_i} \propto p^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} (1-p)^{nN - \sum_{i=1}^N y_i}.$$

Prior kernel: $\pi(p) \propto p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}$.

Posterior kernel:

$$\pi(p | y) \propto p^{\sum y_i + \alpha - 1} (1-p)^{nN - \sum y_i + \beta - 1}.$$

Q1(a) Solution (posterior)

Likelihood:

$$\pi(y | p) = \prod_{i=1}^N \binom{n}{y_i} p^{y_i} (1-p)^{n-y_i} \propto p^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} (1-p)^{nN - \sum_{i=1}^N y_i}.$$

Prior kernel: $\pi(p) \propto p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1}$.

Posterior kernel:

$$\pi(p | y) \propto p^{\sum y_i + \alpha - 1} (1-p)^{nN - \sum y_i + \beta - 1}.$$

Therefore

$$p | y \sim \text{Beta}\left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \beta + nN - \sum_{i=1}^N y_i\right).$$

Q1(b) Sequential update: one more observation

We observe a new $y_{N+1} \sim \text{Binom}(n, p)$ independently.

Guided step:

$$\pi(p \mid y, y_{N+1}) \propto \pi(y_{N+1} \mid p) \pi(p \mid y).$$

Q1(b) Sequential update: one more observation

We observe a new $y_{N+1} \sim \text{Binom}(n, p)$ independently.

Guided step:

$$\pi(p \mid y, y_{N+1}) \propto \pi(y_{N+1} \mid p) \pi(p \mid y).$$

Write kernels:

$$\pi(y_{N+1} \mid p) \propto p^{y_{N+1}} (1 - p)^{n - y_{N+1}}.$$

Q1(b) Sequential update: one more observation

We observe a new $y_{N+1} \sim \text{Binom}(n, p)$ independently.

Guided step:

$$\pi(p \mid y, y_{N+1}) \propto \pi(y_{N+1} \mid p) \pi(p \mid y).$$

Write kernels:

$$\pi(y_{N+1} \mid p) \propto p^{y_{N+1}} (1-p)^{n-y_{N+1}}.$$

Multiply:

$$\pi(p \mid y, y_{N+1}) \propto p^{(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i) + y_{N+1} + \alpha - 1} (1-p)^{nN - \sum_{i=1}^N y_i + (n - y_{N+1}) + \beta - 1}.$$

Q1(b) Sequential update: one more observation

We observe a new $y_{N+1} \sim \text{Binom}(n, p)$ independently.

Guided step:

$$\pi(p \mid y, y_{N+1}) \propto \pi(y_{N+1} \mid p) \pi(p \mid y).$$

Write kernels:

$$\pi(y_{N+1} \mid p) \propto p^{y_{N+1}} (1-p)^{n-y_{N+1}}.$$

Multiply:

$$\pi(p \mid y, y_{N+1}) \propto p^{(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i) + y_{N+1} + \alpha - 1} (1-p)^{nN - \sum_{i=1}^N y_i + (n - y_{N+1}) + \beta - 1}.$$

So

$$p \mid y, y_{N+1} \sim \text{Beta}\left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i, \beta + n(N+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i\right).$$

Q1(c) All-at-once vs sequential: why the same?

If we observe all $N + 1$ points at once, the likelihood is

$$\pi(y_1, \dots, y_{N+1} \mid p) \propto p^{\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i} (1 - p)^{n(N+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i}.$$

Q1(c) All-at-once vs sequential: why the same?

If we observe all $N + 1$ points at once, the likelihood is

$$\pi(y_1, \dots, y_{N+1} \mid p) \propto p^{\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i} (1 - p)^{n(N+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i}.$$

Multiplying by the same Beta prior yields the same Beta posterior:

$$p \mid y_1, \dots, y_{N+1} \sim \text{Beta}\left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i, \beta + n(N+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i\right).$$

Q1(c) All-at-once vs sequential: why the same?

If we observe all $N + 1$ points at once, the likelihood is

$$\pi(y_1, \dots, y_{N+1} \mid p) \propto p^{\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i} (1 - p)^{n(N+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i}.$$

Multiplying by the same Beta prior yields the same Beta posterior:

$$p \mid y_1, \dots, y_{N+1} \sim \text{Beta}\left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i, \beta + n(N+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} y_i\right).$$

Takeaway: Conjugacy makes Bayesian updating *associative*:

$$\text{Prior} \xrightarrow{\text{data}} \text{Posterior} \xrightarrow{\text{new data}} \text{Updated posterior}$$

equals

$$\text{Prior} \xrightarrow{\text{all data at once}} \text{Posterior}.$$

Q2: Poisson model + Gamma prior

Model: $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \mid \lambda \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Pois}(\lambda)$.

- (a) Prior $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$. Derive posterior.
- (b) Fix $\alpha = 1$. Discuss effect of β on posterior.
- (c) Derive posterior predictive for a new observation \tilde{y} .

Hint (given): Negative Binomial pmf with parameters r, p :

$$\pi(k \mid r, p) = \frac{\Gamma(k+r)}{\Gamma(r) k!} (1-p)^k p^r, \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Q2(a) Posterior derivation

Likelihood kernel:

$$\pi(y | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}}{y_i!} \propto \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} e^{-N\lambda}.$$

Q2(a) Posterior derivation

Likelihood kernel:

$$\pi(y | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}}{y_i!} \propto \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} e^{-N\lambda}.$$

Gamma prior (rate parameterization):

$$\pi(\lambda) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \propto \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}.$$

Q2(a) Posterior derivation

Likelihood kernel:

$$\pi(y | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}}{y_i!} \propto \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} e^{-N\lambda}.$$

Gamma prior (rate parameterization):

$$\pi(\lambda) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \propto \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}.$$

Posterior kernel:

$$\pi(\lambda | y) \propto \lambda^{\sum y_i + \alpha - 1} e^{-(N + \beta)\lambda}.$$

Q2(a) Posterior derivation

Likelihood kernel:

$$\pi(y | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}}{y_i!} \propto \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i} e^{-N\lambda}.$$

Gamma prior (rate parameterization):

$$\pi(\lambda) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \propto \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}.$$

Posterior kernel:

$$\pi(\lambda | y) \propto \lambda^{\sum y_i + \alpha - 1} e^{-(N + \beta)\lambda}.$$

Therefore

$$\lambda | y \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \beta + N\right).$$

Q2(b) Effect of β when $\alpha = 1$

With $\alpha = 1$,

$$\lambda | y \sim \text{Gamma}\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \beta + N\right).$$

Q2(b) Effect of β when $\alpha = 1$

With $\alpha = 1$,

$$\lambda | y \sim \text{Gamma}\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \beta + N\right).$$

For Gamma(a, b) (shape a , rate b):

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda | y] = \frac{1 + \sum y_i}{\beta + N}, \quad \text{Var}(\lambda | y) = \frac{1 + \sum y_i}{(\beta + N)^2}.$$

Q2(b) Effect of β when $\alpha = 1$

With $\alpha = 1$,

$$\lambda \mid y \sim \text{Gamma}\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \beta + N\right).$$

For $\text{Gamma}(a, b)$ (shape a , rate b):

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda \mid y] = \frac{1 + \sum y_i}{\beta + N}, \quad \text{Var}(\lambda \mid y) = \frac{1 + \sum y_i}{(\beta + N)^2}.$$

Interpretation:

- Larger β (stronger prior pull toward smaller λ) \Rightarrow smaller posterior mean and variance.
- Smaller β \Rightarrow weaker prior (data dominates more), larger mean/variance.

Q2(c) Posterior predictive $\pi(\tilde{y} | y)$

$$\pi(\tilde{y} | y) = \int \pi(\tilde{y} | \lambda) \pi(\lambda | y) d\lambda, \quad \pi(\tilde{y} | \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\tilde{y}} e^{-\lambda}}{\tilde{y}!}.$$

Q2(c) Posterior predictive $\pi(\tilde{y} | y)$

$$\pi(\tilde{y} | y) = \int \pi(\tilde{y} | \lambda) \pi(\lambda | y) d\lambda, \quad \pi(\tilde{y} | \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\tilde{y}} e^{-\lambda}}{\tilde{y}!}.$$

Plug in posterior $\lambda | y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + \sum y_i, \beta + N)$ and integrate:

$$\pi(\tilde{y} | y) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{y} + \alpha + \sum y_i)}{\tilde{y}! \Gamma(\alpha + \sum y_i)} \left(\frac{\beta + N}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\alpha + \sum y_i} \left(\frac{1}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\tilde{y}}.$$

Q2(c) Posterior predictive $\pi(\tilde{y} | y)$

$$\pi(\tilde{y} | y) = \int \pi(\tilde{y} | \lambda) \pi(\lambda | y) d\lambda, \quad \pi(\tilde{y} | \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\tilde{y}} e^{-\lambda}}{\tilde{y}!}.$$

Plug in posterior $\lambda | y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + \sum y_i, \beta + N)$ and integrate:

$$\pi(\tilde{y} | y) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{y} + \alpha + \sum y_i)}{\tilde{y}! \Gamma(\alpha + \sum y_i)} \left(\frac{\beta + N}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\alpha + \sum y_i} \left(\frac{1}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\tilde{y}}.$$

This matches $\text{NegBin}(r, p)$ with

$$r = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \quad p = \frac{\beta + N}{\beta + N + 1}.$$

Q2(c) Posterior predictive $\pi(\tilde{y} | y)$

$$\pi(\tilde{y} | y) = \int \pi(\tilde{y} | \lambda) \pi(\lambda | y) d\lambda, \quad \pi(\tilde{y} | \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\tilde{y}} e^{-\lambda}}{\tilde{y}!}.$$

Plug in posterior $\lambda | y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + \sum y_i, \beta + N)$ and integrate:

$$\pi(\tilde{y} | y) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{y} + \alpha + \sum y_i)}{\tilde{y}! \Gamma(\alpha + \sum y_i)} \left(\frac{\beta + N}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\alpha + \sum y_i} \left(\frac{1}{\beta + N + 1} \right)^{\tilde{y}}.$$

This matches $\text{NegBin}(r, p)$ with

$$r = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \quad p = \frac{\beta + N}{\beta + N + 1}.$$

Takeaway: Poisson likelihood + Gamma posterior \Rightarrow Negative Binomial posterior predictive.

Q3: Density of a transformed variable

Let $X \sim \pi(x)$ be continuous and $Y = h(X)$ where h is strictly monotonic and smooth.

Show:

$$\pi_Y(y) = \pi_X(x) \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right|.$$

Then: if $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$, find the density of $Y = \sqrt{X}$.

Q3 Solution: general change of variables

If h is strictly increasing:

$$F_Y(y) = \Pr(Y \leq y) = \Pr(h(X) \leq y) = \Pr(X \leq h^{-1}(y)) = F_X(h^{-1}(y)).$$

Q3 Solution: general change of variables

If h is strictly increasing:

$$F_Y(y) = \Pr(Y \leq y) = \Pr(h(X) \leq y) = \Pr(X \leq h^{-1}(y)) = F_X(h^{-1}(y)).$$

Differentiate w.r.t. y :

$$\pi_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy} F_X(h^{-1}(y)) = \pi_X(h^{-1}(y)) h^{-1}(y).$$

Q3 Solution: general change of variables

If h is strictly increasing:

$$F_Y(y) = \Pr(Y \leq y) = \Pr(h(X) \leq y) = \Pr(X \leq h^{-1}(y)) = F_X(h^{-1}(y)).$$

Differentiate w.r.t. y :

$$\pi_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy} F_X(h^{-1}(y)) = \pi_X(h^{-1}(y)) h^{-1}(y).$$

If h is decreasing, a minus sign appears; both cases combine to

$$\pi_Y(y) = \pi_X(x) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right|.$$

Q3 Solution: $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$, $Y = \sqrt{X}$

Here $\pi_X(x) = e^{-x}$ for $x > 0$. Let $Y = \sqrt{X} \Rightarrow X = Y^2$ with $y > 0$.

Q3 Solution: $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$, $Y = \sqrt{X}$

Here $\pi_X(x) = e^{-x}$ for $x > 0$. Let $Y = \sqrt{X} \Rightarrow X = Y^2$ with $y > 0$.

Compute Jacobian:

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{d}{dy} = 2y.$$

Q3 Solution: $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$, $Y = \sqrt{X}$

Here $\pi_X(x) = e^{-x}$ for $x > 0$. Let $Y = \sqrt{X} \Rightarrow X = Y^2$ with $y > 0$.

Compute Jacobian:

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{d}{dy} = 2y.$$

Therefore

$$\pi_Y(y) = \pi_X(y^2) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| = e^{-y^2} \cdot 2y, \quad y > 0.$$

Q3 Solution: $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$, $Y = \sqrt{X}$

Here $\pi_X(x) = e^{-x}$ for $x > 0$. Let $Y = \sqrt{X} \Rightarrow X = Y^2$ with $y > 0$.

Compute Jacobian:

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{d}{dy} = 2y.$$

Therefore

$$\pi_Y(y) = \pi_X(y^2) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| = e^{-y^2} \cdot 2y, \quad y > 0.$$

Check: looks like a Rayleigh-type shape; integrates to 1 on $(0, \infty)$.

Q4: Exponential model + invariant prior

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \lambda \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ with density

$$\pi(x \mid \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x > 0.$$

- (a) Construct an invariant (Jeffreys) prior for λ .
- (b) Derive posterior using this prior.
- (c) What do you notice about this prior?

Q4(a) Jeffreys prior via Fisher information

Single-observation log-likelihood:

$$\log \pi(X | \lambda) = \log \lambda - \lambda X.$$

Q4(a) Jeffreys prior via Fisher information

Single-observation log-likelihood:

$$\log \pi(X | \lambda) = \log \lambda - \lambda X.$$

Derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - X, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

Q4(a) Jeffreys prior via Fisher information

Single-observation log-likelihood:

$$\log \pi(X | \lambda) = \log \lambda - \lambda X.$$

Derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - X, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

Fisher information:

$$I(\lambda) = \mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \pi(X | \lambda) \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

Q4(a) Jeffreys prior via Fisher information

Single-observation log-likelihood:

$$\log \pi(X | \lambda) = \log \lambda - \lambda X.$$

Derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - X, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \pi(X | \lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

Fisher information:

$$I(\lambda) = \mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \pi(X | \lambda) \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

Jeffreys prior:

$$\pi(\lambda) \propto \sqrt{I(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Q4(b) Posterior with Jeffreys prior

Likelihood for n i.i.d. observations:

$$\pi(x | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} = \lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

Q4(b) Posterior with Jeffreys prior

Likelihood for n i.i.d. observations:

$$\pi(x | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} = \lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

Multiply by prior $\pi(\lambda) \propto 1/\lambda$:

$$\pi(\lambda | x) \propto \lambda^{n-1} \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

Q4(b) Posterior with Jeffreys prior

Likelihood for n i.i.d. observations:

$$\pi(x | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} = \lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

Multiply by prior $\pi(\lambda) \propto 1/\lambda$:

$$\pi(\lambda | x) \propto \lambda^{n-1} \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

Identify Gamma kernel:

$$\lambda | x \sim \text{Gamma}\left(n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right),$$

(shape n , rate $\sum x_i$).

Q4(c) What do you notice about the prior?

Consider the integral over $(0, \infty)$:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda = \infty.$$

Q4(c) What do you notice about the prior?

Consider the integral over $(0, \infty)$:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda = \infty.$$

So the Jeffreys prior $\pi(\lambda) \propto 1/\lambda$ is improper.

Q4(c) What do you notice about the prior?

Consider the integral over $(0, \infty)$:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda = \infty.$$

So the Jeffreys prior $\pi(\lambda) \propto 1/\lambda$ is improper.

But: the posterior $\text{Gamma}(n, \sum x_i)$ is a proper distribution for $n \geq 1$. So it is still usable (common in objective Bayes).

Wrap-up: patterns to remember

- **Conjugacy:** Beta–Binomial and Gamma–Poisson give posteriors in same family.
- **Sequential = batch:** updating doesn't depend on when data arrives.
- **Posterior predictive:** integrate out parameter; Gamma–Poisson \Rightarrow Negative Binomial.
- **Transforms:** $\pi_Y(y) = \pi_X(x) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right|$.
- **Jeffreys prior:** $\pi(\lambda) \propto \sqrt{I(\lambda)}$ can be improper but yield proper posterior.